arXiv:1602.02986v2 [math.SP] 21 Jun 2016

Spectral Statistics for one dimensional Anderson model with unbounded but decaying potential

Anish Mallick
anishm@imsc.res.in

Dhriti Ranjan Dolai
dhdolai@mat.uc.cl

June 22, 2016

Abstract

In this work we study the spectral statistics for Anderson model on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with decaying randomness whose single site distribution has unbounded support. Here we consider the operator H^ω given by $(H^\omega u)_n = u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} + a_n\omega_n u_n$, $a_n \sim n^{-\alpha}$ and $\{\omega_n\}$ are real iid random variables with absolutely continuous symmetric distribution μ such that $\mu((-R,R)^c) < \frac{C_M}{R^\delta}$. In case of $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$, we are able to show that the eigenvalue process in $(-2,2)$ is the clock process.

Keywords: Local eigenvalue statistics, Clock process, Anderson Model

1 Introduction

We are interested in the eigenvalue statistics for the operator

$$(H^\omega u)_n = \begin{cases} u_{n+1} + u_{n-1} + a_n\omega_n u_n & n > 1 \\ u_2 + a_1\omega_1 u_1 & n = 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, where $a_n \sim n^{-\alpha}$ and $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are iid random variables following real symmetric absolutely continuous distribution μ (that is $\int_{-K}^{K} x d\mu(x) = 0$ for any $K > 0$) satisfying

$$\mu((-R,R)^c) \leq \frac{C_M}{R^\delta} \qquad \forall R \gg 1$$

for some $\delta > 0$. We will be working under the condition $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$.

For Anderson tight binding model, eigenvalue statistics was first studied by Molchanov[14] for one-dimension and Minami[13] for higher dimensions. Other

AMS 2010 MSC: 35J10, 82B44, 81Q10,34L20.

similar works includes Germinet-Klopp [7], Geisinger [6], Dolai-Krishna [5]. In above mentioned works Poisson statistics was shown in pure point regime. The class of models described by (1.1) are not ergodic, but recently many results are obtained in non-ergodic cases. In [8] Killip-Stoiciu studied eigenvalue statistics for CMV matrices and showed that i) for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ the process is clock, ii) for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ the process is β-ensemble, and iii) for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ it is Poisson. In case of $\alpha < -2$, Dolai-Mallick [3] showed that the statistics is Poisson. Dolai-Krishna [4] showed level repulsion for higher dimensional model with decaying randomness.

CMV matrices are representation of unitary operators and so it is expected that this trend would also hold even for one-dimensional Anderson like models. One such work is of Avila-Last-Simon [1] where they showed quasi-clock behaviour for ergodic jacobi operator in region of absolutely continuous spectrum. In [12] Krichevski-Valkó-Viŕag showed the Sine-β process for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Similar work in continuous analogue are done by Kotani [9] where gap between eigenvalue was studied and limit laws is obtained for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. Kotani-Nakano[10] and Nakano[15] showed that the statistics for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ is clock and for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ it is circular β-ensemble. In these works, the randomness is via Brownian motion on a compact manifold and the decay is implemented as multiplication to the randomness. In [11] Kotani-Quoc studied the distribution of individual eigenvalue in continuous case when the potential is compound Poisson process.

In this work we look at the eigenvalue statistics inside the continuous spectrum for $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$. In Delyon-Simon-Souillard[2] showed that for $\alpha\delta > 1$, the essential spectrum of H^ω is $[-2, 2]$, they also showed that in the case of $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\delta > 2$ the spectrum is continuous in that region.
Here we study the eigenvalue statistics in the region $(-2, 2)$. For $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the sequence of measures $\{\xi^\omega_{L,E_0}\}_L$ is defined by

$$\xi^\omega_{E_0,L}(f) = tr(f(L(H^\omega_L - E_0))) \qquad \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.2}$$

where H^ω_L is the restriction of H^ω onto the subspace $\ell^2(\{1, \cdots, L\})$.
The main result in this work is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Consider H^ω as in (1.1) then for $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$ and $E_0 \in (-2, 2)$ there exists a subsequence $\{L_n\}_n$ such that $\{\xi^\omega_{E_0,L_n}\}_n$ converges to a clock process in distribution.*

To study eigenvalue statistics, we work with Prüfer phase. For coherence, we provide the derivation to obtain the phase function in this setup.

For any $E \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a real sequence $u^E := \{u^E_n\}_n$ such that $H^\omega u^E =$

Eu^E by looking at the transfer matrix (with $u_0 = 0$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{n+1} \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E - a_n\omega_n & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ u_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In case $E \in (-2, 2)$, there exists $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ such that $E = 2\cos\theta$. Using the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ u_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\theta & \sin n\theta \\ \cos(n-1)\theta & \sin(n-1)\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_n \\ w_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and setting $w_n + iv_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$, we get

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \Im \ln \left(1 - \frac{a_n\omega_n \sin(\theta_n + n\theta)}{\sin\theta} e^{-i(\theta_n + n\theta)} \right).$$

Here we take the principal branch of logarithm with the branch cut $(-\infty, 0)$, so $range(\Im \ln) = (-\pi, \pi)$. As a result of above transformations, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ u_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = r_n \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta_n + n\theta) \\ \sin(\theta_n + (n-1)\theta) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

So defining $y_n^\omega(\theta) = \theta_n + n\theta$, and using the fact that $u_0 = 0$ we have the recursion formula

$$y_n^\omega(\theta) = \begin{cases} y_{n-1}^\omega(\theta) + \theta + \Im \ln \left(1 - \frac{a_{n-1}\omega_{n-1} \sin y_{n-1}^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta} e^{-iy_{n-1}^\omega(\theta)} \right) & n > 1 \\ \theta & n = 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

and also define $\tilde{y}_n^\omega(\theta) = y_n^\omega(\theta) - n\theta$ (In the case of no randomness, we have $\tilde{y}_n^\omega(\theta) = 0$). It is clear that $\sin y_{L+1}^\omega(\theta) = 0$ for $2\cos\theta \in \sigma(H_L^\omega)$, because $u_{L+1} = 0$. Therefore to identify the eigenvalues of H_L^ω inside $(-2, 2)$ one has to only look at those θ for which $y_{L+1}^\omega(\theta) \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\{E_i^{\omega,L}\}_{i=1}^L$ denote the eigenvalues of H_L^ω (arrange in decreasing order). Then for any $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ fixed, there exists $K > 0$ such that $supp(f) \subset [-K, K]$, so one only needs to consider the eigenvalues satisfying

$$L|E_i^{\omega,L} - E_0| < K \quad \Rightarrow \quad |E_i^{\omega,L} - E_0| < \frac{K}{L}.$$

Since $E_0 \in (-2, 2)$, we can assume that all the eigenvalues under consideration are of the form $E_i^{\omega,L} = 2\cos\theta_i^{\omega,L}$ where $\theta_i^{\omega,L} \in (0, \pi)$. Set $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ such that $E_0 = 2\cos\theta$, then

$$L(E_i^{\omega,L} - E_0) = 2L(\cos\theta_i^{\omega,L} - \cos\theta) = -2L\sin\theta(\theta_i^{\omega,L} - \theta) + O\left(\frac{K^2}{L}\right). \tag{1.5}$$

Hence define $x_i^{\omega,L} = L(\theta_i^{\omega,L} - \theta)$ (which are arranged in increasing order). Most of the work done here to show that $x_k^{\omega,L}$ converges to $k\pi + g_\theta^\omega$ in probability.

Here we consider μ with unbounded support (one can also take δ to be less than 1), we are only able to show convergence in probability. To do this, since $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$, there exists a sequence of measurable sets $\{B_N\}_N$ (described in (2.7)) where after a point all the potential is decaying fast enough and $\mathbb{P}[B_N] \xrightarrow{N\to\infty} 1$.

So limit of $\tilde{y}_n^\omega$ can be computed as element of $L^2(B_N)$ (L^2 is important as seen in lemma 2.3 and cannot be proven for any other exponent). The construction of sequence $\{B_N\}_N$ is done after lemma 2.2 and in lemma 2.3 the convergence rates in L^2 are computed. Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 are necessary to get one-to-one correspondence between $x_i^{\omega,L}$ and n_i (see (2.4) for correspondence) and they are completely general (does not depend upon randomness). In lemma 2.6 and 2.5 we get the limit. Finally we combine the results for the proof of the main theorem.
If the measure μ is compactly supported or $\delta > 2$, the convergence in lemma 2.3 can be shown to be L^2 on Ω itself and the theorem can be proved for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

2 Result

Following lemma gives the continuity of $\{y_n^\omega(\cdot)\}_n$. This is done by using the fact that even though $\Im \ln$ is discontinuous, the singularity of the ln is never reached. Final expression (2.1) is basically Lipschitz continuity statement. It can be noted that the neighbourhood where continuity is obtained depends only on θ.

Lemma 2.1. *Given θ, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $y_N^\omega(\theta + x)$ is continuous in x in a neighbourhood of 0 for a.e ω.*

Proof. Using

$$|\ln(1-z) - \ln(1-w)| \leq \frac{|z-w|}{\min\{|1-z|, |1-w|\}}$$

for $|z|, |w| < 1$, and writing $y_{n+1}^\omega(\cdot)$ as

$$y_{n+1}^\omega(\eta) = y_n^\omega(\eta) + \eta + \Im \ln\left(1 - \frac{a_n\omega_n}{a_n\omega_n - 2i\sin\eta} e^{-2iy_n^\omega(\eta)}\right) + \Im \ln\left(1 + i\frac{a_n\omega_n}{2\sin\eta}\right),$$

for $|p|, |q| < \gamma$ where $\gamma > 0$ is chosen such that that $\min_{|x|<\gamma} |\sin(\theta+x)| > \frac{|\sin\theta|}{2}$, some simple estimation gives

$$|y_{n+1}^\omega(\theta+p) - y_{n+1}^\omega(\theta+q)| \leq \left(3 + \frac{2|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|}\right)|y_n^\omega(\theta+p) - y_n^\omega(\theta+q)| + \left(2 + \frac{|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|} + \frac{4|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|^2}\right)|p-q|.$$

Using this recursively till $n = 1$ and then using $y_1^\omega(\eta) = \eta$, we get

$$|y_N^\omega(\theta+p) - y_N^\omega(\theta+q)| \leq \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\left(2 + \frac{|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|} + \frac{4|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|^2}\right)\prod_{m=n}^{N-1}\left(3 + \frac{2|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|}\right)\right]|p-q|. \quad (2.1)$$

This completes the proof. □

The above lemma and properties of Green's function give the next lemma which show that the functions y_n^ω are increasing.

Lemma 2.2. *The $y_n^\omega(\cdot)$ are increasing functions.*

Proof. We have the recurrence relation (setting $u_0^{\omega,E} = 0$ and $u_1^{\omega,E} = 1$)

$$u_{n+1}^{\omega,E} = (E - a_n\omega_n)u_n^{\omega,E} - u_{n-1}^{\omega,E} \qquad \forall n > 1,$$

which modifies to

$$w_{n+1}^{\omega,E} = E - a_n\omega_n - \frac{1}{w_n^{\omega,E}},$$

where $w_n^{\omega,E} = \frac{u_n^{\omega,E}}{u_{n-1}^{\omega,E}}$. Using (1.3), we get

$$w_n^{\omega,2\cos\eta} = \frac{\sin y_n^\omega(\eta)}{\sin(y_n^\omega(\eta) - \eta)} = \frac{1}{\cos\eta - \sin\eta\cot y_n^\omega(\eta)},$$

and using definition of Green's function

$$\frac{1}{w_{L+1}^{\omega,E}} = \left\langle \delta_L, (E - H_L^\omega)^{-1}\delta_L \right\rangle = \sum_k \frac{a_k^{\omega,L}}{E - E_k^{\omega,L}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $a_k^{\omega,L} = \left|\left\langle \phi_k^{\omega,L}, \delta_L \right\rangle\right|^2$, $\{\phi_k^{\omega,L}\}_k$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to eigenvalues $\{E_k^{\omega,L}\}_k$ of H_L^ω. By definition of $\theta_i^{\omega,L}$ we have

$$w_{L+1}^{\omega,2\cos\theta_i^{\omega,L}} = 0 = w_{L+1}^{\omega,2\cos\theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L}}.$$

Let us denote the function $f : (\theta_i^{\omega,L}, \theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(\eta) = \frac{1}{w_{L+1}^{\omega,2\cos\eta}}$, this is an increasing continuous function (using the properties of last expression in (2.2) and the fact that cos is decreasing in the concerned region) with

$$\lim_{\eta\to(\theta_i^{\omega,L})^+} f(\eta) = -\infty \quad \& \quad \lim_{\eta\to(\theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L})^-} f(\eta) = \infty.$$



Hence for $\theta_i^{\omega,L} < x_1 < x_2 < \theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L}$ we get

$$\cos x_1 - \sin x_1 \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_1) = f(x_1) < f(x_2) = \cos x_2 - \sin x_2 \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_2)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \cos x_1 - \cos x_2 + \sin x_2 \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_2) < \sin x_1 \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_1)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_2) \le \cot y_{L+1}^\omega(x_1)$$

using $\cos x_1 \ge \cos x_2$ and sin is positive on $(0, \pi)$. Finally using continuity of y_{L+1}^ω and the fact that cot is decreasing we get that y_{L+1}^ω is increasing function. Set $y_{L+1}^\omega(\theta_i^{\omega,L}) = n_i^\omega\pi$, and observe (using $f(\eta) = \cos\eta - \sin\eta\cot y_{L+1}^\omega(\eta)$)

$$y_{L+1}^\omega(\eta) = n_i^{\omega,L}\pi + \cot^{-1}\frac{\cos\eta - f(\eta)}{\sin\eta} \qquad \forall\eta \in (\theta_i^{\omega,L}, \theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L}).$$

In particular

$$y_{L+1}^\omega(\theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L}) = \lim_{\eta\to(\theta_{i+1}^{\omega,L})^-} y_{L+1}^\omega(\eta) = n_i^{\omega,L}\pi + \pi \tag{2.3}$$

□

As a consequence of equation (2.3) and simplicity of spectrum, there exists an enumeration of $\{x_i^{\omega,L}\}_i$ such that

$$y_{L+1}^{\omega}\left(\theta + \frac{x_i^{\omega,L}}{L}\right) = (n_L^{\omega} + i)\pi \tag{2.4}$$

where $x_0^{\omega,L}$ is defined such that $x_{-1}^{\omega,L} x_1^{\omega,L} < 0$ (both of them have different sign and none of them are zero). From now on we will use this enumeration whenever it is required.

From here we assume that $\alpha - \frac{1}{\delta} > \frac{1}{2}$, so there exists β such that $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < \alpha - \frac{1}{\delta}$. We drop dependence of θ in notation now onward.
Define the sequence of sets

$$A_n := \{\omega \in \Omega : |a_n \omega_n| < n^{-\beta} |\sin\theta|\} \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

Observe that (choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ to be large)

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{P}[A_n^c] \leq N + C|\sin\theta|^{\delta} \sum_{n\geq N} \frac{1}{n^{\delta(\alpha-\beta)}} < \infty.$$

So using Borel-Canterlli lemma we have $\mathbb{P}[\cap_m \cup_{n\geq m} A_n^c] = 0$. So the random variable

$$n^{\omega} = \max\{n : |a_n \omega_n| \geq n^{-\beta} |\sin\theta|\} \tag{2.6}$$

is almost everywhere finite, since

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : n^{\omega} = \infty\} \subseteq \cap_m \cup_{n\geq m} A_n^c.$$

Define the set

$$B_N = \{\omega \in \Omega : n^{\omega} < N\}, \tag{2.7}$$

and observe that $\mathbb{P}[B_N] \xrightarrow{N\to\infty} 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *For N large enough:*

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[|\tilde{y}_M^{\omega}(\theta) - \tilde{y}_N^{\omega}(\theta)|^2\right] \leq C N^{1-2\beta} \tag{2.8}$$

for any $M > N$.

Proof. For $\omega \in B_N$ and $K \geq N$ define

$$Y_{N,K}^{\omega}(\theta) = \tilde{y}_N^{\omega}(\theta) + \sum_{n=N}^{K-1} \frac{a_n \omega_n \sin^2 y_n^{\omega}(\theta)}{\sin\theta}$$

Using $|\ln(1-z) + z| \leq 2|z|^2$ for $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left|\tilde{y}_M^{\omega}(\theta) - Y_{N,M}^{\omega}(\theta)\right|^2\right] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left|\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\left(\Im \ln\left(1 - \frac{a_n\omega_n \sin y_n^{\omega}(\theta)}{\sin\theta} e^{-iy_n^{\omega}(\theta)}\right) - \frac{a_n\omega_n \sin^2 y_n^{\omega}(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\right)\right|^2\right]$$

$$\leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left(\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\left|\Im\ln\left(1-\frac{a_n\omega_n\sin y_n^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}e^{-iy_n^\omega(\theta)}\right)-\frac{a_n\omega_n\sin^2 y_n^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\right|\right)^2\right]$$

$$\leq 4\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left(\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\left|\frac{a_n\omega_n}{\sin\theta}\right|^2\right)^2\right]\leq 4\left(\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\frac{1}{n^{2\beta}}\right)^2\leq C_1N^{2(1-2\beta)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Using $|\sum_i x_i|^2=\sum_{i,j}x_ix_j$ for reals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left|Y_{N,M}^\omega(\theta)-\tilde{y}_N^\omega(\theta)\right|^2\right]&=\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left|\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\frac{a_n\omega_n\sin^2 y_n^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\right|^2\right]\\&=\sum_{m,n=N}^{M-1}\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\frac{a_n\omega_n\sin^2 y_n^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\frac{a_m\omega_m\sin^2 y_m^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\right]\\&=\sum_{n=N}^{M-1}\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\left(\frac{a_n\omega_n\sin^2 y_n^\omega(\theta)}{\sin\theta}\right)^2\right]\\&\quad+\sum_{N\leq m<n<M}2\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega_n\in A_n}[\omega_n]\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}\left[\frac{a_na_m\omega_m\sin^2 y_n^\omega(\theta)\sin^2 y_m^\omega(\theta)}{\sin^2\theta}\right]\\&\leq C_2N^{1-2\beta}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since y_n^ω is independent of ω_n and the fact that $\int_{-K}^K x d\mu(x)=0$, we have

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}[\omega_n\omega_m f(y_n^\omega,y_m^\omega)]=\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega_n\in A_n}[\omega_n]\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in B_N}[\omega_m f(y_n^\omega,y_m^\omega)]=0\ \text{ for } n>m$$

combining (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain (2.8). □

Remark 2.4. *It should if noted that above proof still works if we assume that* $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}[\omega_nX_n^\omega]=0$$

for any X_n^ω *which is a bounded function of* $\{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$.

In case $\delta>2$, *we can take* $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ *because (define* $\tilde{A}_n=\{\omega:|\omega_n|<|a_n||\sin\theta|/2\}$*)*

$$\sum_{n\geq N}\mathbb{P}[\tilde{A}_n^c]\leq C\sum_{n\geq N}\frac{1}{n^{\alpha\delta}}\xrightarrow{N\to\infty}0.$$

So B_N *is defined as* $\{\omega:|\omega_n|<2^{-1}|a_n||\sin\theta|\forall n>N\}$ *along with* $\beta=\alpha$.

Now we can prove the convergence of $\{\tilde{y}_n^\omega(\theta+\frac{x}{L})\}_n$. This is divided in two parts. In following lemma, convergence of $\{\tilde{y}_n^\omega(\theta)\}_n$ is shown. Then in next lemma, convergence of $\{\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta+\frac{x}{L})-\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta)\}_L$ is shown.

Lemma 2.5. *For given θ, there exists $g_\theta^{\cdot} : \Omega \to [-\infty, \infty]$ measurable, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\mathbb{P}[\omega \in \Omega : |\tilde{y}_L^\omega(\theta) - g_\theta^\omega| > \epsilon] \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0 \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Let $1 \ll N < M$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}[\omega \in \Omega : |\tilde{y}_M^\omega(\theta) - \tilde{y}_N^\omega(\theta)| > \epsilon] \\ &\quad\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in B_N : |\tilde{y}_M^\omega(\theta) - \tilde{y}_N^\omega(\theta)| > \epsilon\right] + \mathbb{P}[B_N^c].\end{aligned}$$

Using Chebyshev's inequality in (2.8) we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in B_N : |\tilde{y}_M^\omega(\theta) - \tilde{y}_N^\omega(\theta)| > \epsilon\right] \leq \frac{CN^{1-2\beta}}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}[\omega \in \Omega : |\tilde{y}_M^\omega(\theta) - \tilde{y}_N^\omega(\theta)| > \epsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}[B_N^c] + \frac{CN^{1-2\beta}}{\epsilon^2} \xrightarrow{N\to\infty} 0$$

So there exists a measurable function g_θ^ω for which (2.11) hold.

□

Lemma 2.6. *Given θ and $K > 0$, for $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta)\right| > \epsilon\right] \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0 \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Set $N_L = \lceil (\ln L)^\eta \rceil$ for some $0 < \eta < 1$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta)\right| > \epsilon\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta)\right| > \epsilon\right] + \mathbb{P}[B_{N_L}^c].\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Second part goes to zero by definition (2.7). For the first part, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\omega \in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega(\theta)\right| > \epsilon\right\} \\ &\qquad\subseteq \left\{\omega \in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{L+1}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{N_L}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right\} \\ &\qquad\qquad\bigcup \left\{\omega \in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_{N_L}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{N_L}^\omega(\theta)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Using lemma 2.3 and Chebyshev's inequality for first set on RHS, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega \in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K} \left|\tilde{y}_L^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}_{N_L}^\omega\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right] \leq C_1 \frac{N_L^{1-2\beta}}{\epsilon^2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Next we focus on second set in RHS of (2.14). Let $A_n^L = \{\omega : 2|a_n\omega_n| <$

$(\ln L)|\sin\theta|\}$ and observe that

$$\mathbb{P}[\cup_{n=1}^{N_L}(A_n^L)^c] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_L}\mathbb{P}[(A_n^L)^c] \leq \frac{C_M}{(\ln L)^\delta|\sin\theta|^\delta}\sum_{n=1}^{N_L}\frac{1}{n^{\alpha\delta}} < \frac{C_2}{(\ln L)^\delta} \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Setting $C_L = \cap_{n=1}^{N_L} A_n^L$ and we have $\mathbb{P}[C_L] \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 1$. For $\omega \in C_L$, using (2.1) we have

$$\sup_{|x|<K}\left|y^\omega_{N_L}\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - y^\omega_{N_L}(\theta)\right| \leq \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_L-1}\left(2+\frac{|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|}+\frac{4|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|^2}\right)\prod_{m=n}^{N_L-1}\left(3+\frac{2|a_n\omega_n|}{|\sin\theta|}\right)\right]\frac{K}{L}$$

$$\leq C_3K\frac{(\ln L)^{N_L}}{L} = O\left(e^{(\ln L)^\eta \ln\ln L - \ln L}\right) \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0.$$

Using above

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega\in B_{N_L} : \sup_{|x|<K}\left|\tilde{y}^\omega_{N_L}\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - \tilde{y}^\omega_{N_L}(\theta)\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}[C_L^c] + \mathbb{P}\left[\omega\in C_L : \sup_{|x|<K}\left|y^\omega_{N_L}\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - y^\omega_{N_L}(\theta) + \frac{N_Lx}{L}\right| > \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right] = \mathbb{P}[C_L^c]$$

Combining (2.13),(2.15) and (2.16) we have the result.

□

Remark 2.7. *It should be noted that in case of lemma 2.5 and 2.6, the convergence is shown for the entire sequence.*

The problem that arises in proving clock process for entire sequence is the fact that the limit points of the sequence $\{\{L\frac{\theta}{\pi}\}\}_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ *(*$\{x\}$ *is the fractional part of* x*) is entire* $[0,1]$ *for almost every* $\theta\in[0,\pi]$.

Before going into proof of main theorem, we choose the subsequence $\{L_n\}_n$ such that $\{\frac{(L_n+1)\theta}{\pi}\} \to a$ for some $a\in[0,1]$.

3 Proof of main Theorem 1.1

Proof. Let $f\in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ real valued with $supp(f)\subset[-K,K]$. Given $\epsilon>0$, uniform continuity of f implies the existence of $\eta>0$ such that

$$|f(x)-f(y)|<\epsilon \qquad \forall|x-y|<\eta.$$

Combining lemma 2.6 and 2.5 gives

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega\in\Omega : \sup_{|x|<K}\left|y^\omega_{L+1}\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - (L+1)\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - g^\omega_\theta\right| > \frac{\eta}{3}\right] \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0.$$

Since K is fixed, above statement can be modified to

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\omega\in\Omega : \sup_{|x|<K}\left|y^\omega_{L+1}\left(\theta+\frac{x}{L}\right) - (L+1)\theta - g^\omega_\theta - x\right| > \frac{\eta}{3}\right] \xrightarrow{L\to\infty} 0.$$

Set

$$\Omega_L = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sup_{|x|<K} \left| y^\omega_{L+1}\left(\theta + \frac{x}{L}\right) - (L+1)\theta - g^\omega_\theta - x \right| < \frac{\eta}{3} \right\},$$

and, using the enumeration (2.4) resulting from lemma 2.2, for $\omega \in \Omega_L$ we get

$$|(n^\omega_L + i)\pi - (L+1)\theta - g^\omega_\theta - x^{\omega,L}_i| < \frac{\eta}{3}.$$

Using the minimality of definition for $x^{\omega,L}_0$, we have $|n^\omega_L\pi - (L+1)\theta - g^\omega_\theta| < \pi + \eta$, so set $\phi^{\omega,L}_\theta = n^\omega_L\pi - (L+1)\theta - g^\omega_\theta$, which provides

$$|k\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta - x^{\omega,L}_k| < \frac{\eta}{3} \qquad \forall \omega \in \Omega_L.$$

For $\omega \in \Omega_L$, using (1.5) and above

$$\left| 2L\left(\cos\left(\theta + \frac{x^{\omega,L}_k}{L}\right) - \cos\theta\right) + 2(k\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta)\sin\theta \right| \le 2|k\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta - x^{\omega,L}_k| + O\left(\frac{K^2}{L}\right) < \eta.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega}\left[e^{i\xi^\omega_{E_0,L}(f)} - e^{i\sum_k f(-2(k\pi+\phi^{\omega,L}_\theta)\sin\theta)}\chi_{\Omega_L}\right] \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{P}[\Omega^c_L] + \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in\Omega_L}\left[\left| e^{i\sum_k f(L(E^{\omega,L}_k - E_0))} - e^{i\sum_k f(-2(k\pi+\phi^{\omega,L}_\theta)\sin\theta)}\right|\right] \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{P}[\Omega^c_L] + \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in\Omega_L}\left[\left| e^{i\sum_k\left[f(2L(\cos\left(\theta+\frac{x^{\omega,L}_k}{L}\right)-\cos\theta)) - f(-2(k\pi+\phi^{\omega,L}_\theta)\sin\theta)\right]} - 1\right|\right] \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{P}[\Omega^c_L] + \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega\in\Omega_L}\left[\sum_k \left| f\left(2L\left(\cos\left(\theta + \frac{x^{\omega,L}_k}{L}\right) - \cos\theta\right)\right) - f(-2(k\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta)\sin\theta)\right|\right] \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{P}[\Omega^c_L] + \epsilon \sup_{\omega\in\Omega_L} \#\{i : |x^{\omega,L}_i| < K\} \le \mathbb{P}[\Omega^c_L] + \frac{2\epsilon K}{\pi}. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Last line follows using

$$\begin{aligned}
K > |x^{\omega,L}_p| > ||x^{\omega,L}_p - p\pi - \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta| - |p\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta|| \qquad &for\ \omega \in \Omega_L \\
\Rightarrow \qquad |p\pi + \phi^{\omega,L}_\theta| \le K + \frac{\eta}{3} \qquad &for\ \omega \in \Omega_L \\
\Rightarrow \qquad \sup_{\omega\in\Omega_L} \#\{i : |x^{\omega,L}_i| < K\} \le \frac{2K}{\pi}&
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the subsequence $\{L_n\}_n$, it is clear that $\{\phi^{\omega,L_n}_\theta\}_n$ converges, and set

$$\phi^{\omega,L_n}_\theta \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \tilde{g}^\omega_\theta. \qquad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega}\left[e^{i\xi^\omega_{E_0,L_n}(f)}\right] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\omega}\left[e^{i\sum_k f(-2(k\pi+\tilde{g}^\omega_\theta)\sin\theta)}\right]$$

completing the proof.

□

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Fumihiko Nakano for helpful comments and suggestions. The author Dhriti R. Dolai is supported by Núcleo Milenio de Física Mathemática, ICM grant RC120002. The author Anish Mallick is partially supported by IMSc Project 12-R&D-IMS-5.01-0106.

References

[1] Artur Avila, Yoram Last, and Barry Simon. Bulk universality and clock spacing of zeros for ergodic jacobi matrices with absolutely continuous spectrum. *Analysis & PDE*, 3(1):81–108, 2010.

[2] François Delyon, Barry Simon, and Bernard Souillard. From power pure point to continuous spectrum in disordered systems. In *Annales de l'IHP Physique théorique*, volume 42, pages 283–309, 1985.

[3] Dhriti Ranjan Dolai and Mallick Anish. Spectral statistics of random schrödinger operators with unbounded potentials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.07132*, 2015.

[4] Dhriti Ranjan Dolai and M Krishna. Level repulsion for a class of decaying random potentials. *Markov Processes and Related Fields*, 21(3):449–462, 2015.

[5] Dhriti Ranjan Dolai and M Krishna. Poisson statistics for anderson model with singular randomness. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 30(3):251–266, 2015.

[6] Leander Geisinger. Poisson eigenvalue statistics for random schrödinger operators on regular graphs. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, pages 1–28. Springer, 2014.

[7] François Germinet and Frédéric Klopp. Spectral statistics for the discrete anderson model in the localized regime. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.4427*, 2010.

[8] Rowan Killip and Mihai Stoiciu. Eigenvalue statistics for cmv matrices: from poisson to clock via random matrix ensembles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 146(3):361–399, 2009.

[9] Shinichi Kotani. On limit behavior of eigenvalues spacing for 1-d random schrödinger operators. *Kokyuroku Bessatsu B*, 27:67–78, 2011.

[10] Shinichi Kotani and Fumihiko Nakano. Level statistics of one-dimensional schrödinger operators with random decaying potential. *Preprint*, 2012.

[11] Shinichi Kotani and Pham Van Quoc. On asymptotics of eigenvalues for a certain 1-dimensional random schrödinger operator. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 48(1):69–89, 2011.

[12] Eugene Kritchevski, Benedek Valkó, and Bálint Virág. The scaling limit of the critical one-dimensional random schrödinger operator. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 314(3):775–806, 2012.

[13] Nariyuki Minami. Local fluctuation of the spectrum of a multidimensional anderson tight binding model. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 177(3):709–725, 1996.

[14] SA Molčanov. The local structure of the spectrum of the one-dimensional schrödinger operator. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 78(3):429–446, 1981.

[15] Fumihiko Nakano. Level statistics for one-dimensional schrödinger operators and gaussian beta ensemble. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 156(1):66–93, 2014.

Anish Mallick
anishm@imsc.res.in
Institute: The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India

Dhriti Ranjan Dolai
dhdolai@mat.uc.cl
Institute: Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago de Chile